

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/523,186	KALINITCHENKO, IOURI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Phillip A. Johnston	2881	

**All Participants:**

(1) Phillip A. Johnston.

(2) Edward Berkowitz.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*last office action*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 15*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Sakata (717)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Permission granted to amend by examiners amendment (a) claim 1, by adding "wherein the passage is located completely within a cone and leads from an inlet to an outlet at said cone aperture"; and (b) amend claim 15 by adding to the preamble "in accord with the structure of claim 1", and to the body of the claim after the phrase, confining the plasma radially the words "within the reaction zone of claim 1" ..